ACTION: Notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation of 1978, Public Law 95–541.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. This is the required notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert S. Cunningham or D. Kristen Larsen, Permit Office, Office of Polar Programs, Rm. 755, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 30, 1995, and June 29, 1995, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the Federal Register of waste management permit applications received. Permits were issued on September 7, 1995 to the following applicants.

Adventure Network International (ANI), Permit #96WM2-ANI Skip Novak, Permit #96WM3-PELAGIC Robert S. Cunningham, NEPA Compliance Manager, Office of Polar

Programs, National Science Foundation. [FR Doc. 95–24506 Filed 10–2–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-440]

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, et al.; Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (the Commission) is
considering issuance of several
exemptions from the requirements of 10
CFR Part 50, Appendix J to the
Cleveland Electric Illuminating
Company, Centerior Service Company,
Duquesne Light Company, Ohio Edison
Company, Pennsylvania Power
Company, and Toledo Edison Company
(the licensees), for operation of the Perry
Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, located in
Lake County, Ohio.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant exemptions from the requirements of Sections III.A.5(b)(2), III.B.3, III.C.3, III.A.1(d), III.D.1(a), and III.D.3 of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50. Section III.A.5(b)(2) requires that the measured leakage for the containment integrated leak rate test ($L_{\rm am}$) be less than 75% of the maximum allowable leakage rate

 $(0.75\ L_a)$. The proposed exemption would permit separate treatment of main steam isolation valve leakage from the containment integrated leak rate tests.

Sections III.B.3 and III.C.3 require that the combined leakage of valves and penetrations subject to Type B and C local leak rate testing be less than 0.6 times the maximum allowable leakage rate $(0.6\ L_a)$. The proposed exemption would permit separate treatment of main steam isolation valve leakage from local leak rate testing.

Section III.A.1(d) requires that all fluid systems that would be open to containment following post-accident conditions, be vented and drained prior to conducting the containment integrated leak rate test. The proposed exemption would permit separate treatment of the main steam line penetrations and would not require them to be vented and drained prior to conducting containment integrated leak rate tests.

Section III.D.1.(a) requires that a set of three Type A tests be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period and that the third test of each set be conducted when the plant is shut down for the 10-year plant inservice inspection (ISI). The proposed exemption would permit performance of the third Type A test at times other than when the plant is shut down for the 10-year plant ISI.

Section III.D.3 requires that Type C tests shall be performed during each reactor shutdown for refueling but in no case at intervals greater than 2 years. The proposed exemption would allow the licensee to perform the required Type C tests while the plant is at power.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated October 21, 1994.

The Need for the Proposed Action

Assumptions used in both the Perry FSAR and Standard Review Plan 15.6.5, Appendix D, "Radiological Consequences of a Design Basis Loss-of-Coolant Accident," for computing the total radiological consequences from a hypothetical loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA), include separate contributions for the containment leak rate and the main steam line isolation valve leak rate. The value for the maximum allowable containment leak rate, La, of 0.2%/day, was established based on separate accounting for the main steam line isolation valve leak rate. The proposed exemption from Section III.A.5 (b)(2) is needed to allow separate treatment of main steam line isolation valve leakage from the containment integrated leak rate.

Sections III.B.3 and III.C.3 of Appendix J state that the combined leakage from all valves and penetrations subject to Type B and C local leak rate testing shall be less than 0.6 $L_{\rm a}$. However, separate leakage limits have been established for the main steam isolation valves at Perry. An exemption from Sections III.B.3 and III.C.3 is needed to allow separate treatment of main steam isolation valve leakage from local leak rate testing.

Section III.A.1(d) requires that those systems that would be exposed to the containment atmosphere following a design basis LOCA, be vented and drained prior to conducting the containment integrated leak rate test. However, the main steam piping between the inboard and outboard isolation valves at Perry are filled with water during the containment integrated leak rate tests. This practice ensures that any leakage through the isolation valves will not contribute to the overall containment test results. An exemption from Section III.A.1(d) is needed to allow this alternative practice.

The proposed exemption from 10 CFR Part, Appendix J, Section III.D.1(a), is needed to avoid unnecessary restraints in outage scheduling. The licensee proposed to perform the three Type A tests at approximately equal intervals within each 10-year period, with the third test of each set conducted as close as practical to the end of the 10-year period. However, there would be no required connection between the Appendix J 10-year interval and the ISI 10-year interval.

Section III.D.3 of Appendix J to 10 CFR part 50 states that Type C tests shall be performed during each reactor shutdown for refueling but in no case at intervals greater than 2 years. The proposed exemption is needed to allow the option to perform Type C testing at power.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the exemption would not significantly increase the probability or amount of expected primary containment leakage, and that containment integrity would thus be maintained.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no

significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2," dated August 1982.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on September 13, 1995, the staff consulted with the Ohio state official, Lawrence Grove, of the Ohio Emergency Management Agency, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The state official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 21, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Perry Public Library, 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio 44081.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of September 1995.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Jon B. Hopkins, Sr.,

Project Manager, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–24553 Filed 10–2–95; 8:45 am]

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Ad Hoc Subcommittee Meeting on Watts Bar, Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Ad Hoc Subcommittee on Watts Bar will hold a meeting on November 1, 1995, Room T–2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, November 1, 1995—8:30 a.m. Until 5 p.m.

The Subcommittee will discuss the status of the issues associated with the operating license review of the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Unit 1, including outstanding licensing issues, plant design changes made since 1982, and the resolution of the identified quality assurance weaknesses. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the ACRS staff member named below as far in advance as is practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any of its consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and the Tennessee Valley Authority, and other interested persons regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Noel Dudley, (telephone 301/415–6888) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EST). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one to two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes in the proposed agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: September 26, 1995. Sam Duraiswamy, Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch. [FR Doc. 95–24544 Filed 10–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

[Docket No. 50-440]

Cleveland Electric Illuminating and Toledo Edison Company, et al.; Notice of Transfer of Ownership of Perry Nuclear Power Plant

Notice is hereby given that the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) is considering approval under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 50.80 of the transfer of 19.91 percent of the ownership of the Perry Nuclear Power Plant (PNPP) from the Toledo Edison Company (TE) to a company resulting from the merger of TE and The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEI). Toledo Edison will transfer all of its interest in the ownership of PNPP as described in License No. NPF-58 to such company. The Centerior Service Company (CSC) and CEI are authorized to act as agents for Duquesne Light Company, Ohio Edison Company, Pennsylvania Power Company and the Toledo Edison Company and have exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the PNPP. Toledo Edison Company, CSC, and CEI are wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Centerior Energy Corporation. By letter dated June 2, 1994, as supplemented by letters of July 29, 1994, November 10, 1994, April 24, 1995, and September 20, 1995, CEI informed the Commission that the shares of TE, owned by Centerior Energy Corporation, will be converted into new shares of CEI and then all CEI shares will be converted into shares of the merged company

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80 the Commission may approve the transfer of a license, after notice to interested persons, upon the Commission's determination that the holder of the license following the transfer of control